

CLIMATE FINANCE REGIONAL BRIEFING: MIDDLE EAST AND NORTH AFRICA

Charlene Watson, ODI, Liane Schalatek, hbs, and Aurélien Evéquo

Climate finance from the multilateral climate funds in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA)¹ region is largely concentrated in a small number of large projects in the form of loans or concessional loans, funded by the Clean Technology Fund (CTF). The total amount of finance approved between 2003 and 2022 is USD 1.6 billion for 164 projects. This money has largely gone towards mitigation efforts despite pressing adaptation needs in the region, especially for water conservation and food security measures. Of the total funding approved for the region, USD 648 million has taken the form of grants. Adaptation projects have all been supported by grants. USD 974 million has been provided in the form of loans or concessional loans for just a few large-scale energy infrastructure projects approved by the CTF and Green Climate Fund (GCF). The top two recipients – Egypt and Morocco – respectively have received 29% and 18% of total approved climate finance in the region, while four of the countries in the region have received no climate finance from the funds monitored by Climate Funds Update (CFU). Approved finance grew by USD 51 million in 2022.

Introduction

Countries of the MENA region are highly vulnerable to climate change, which is likely to compound persisting development challenges, making climate change adaptation a priority (ESCWA, 2019). The region is already the most water-scarce region in the world and has to import more than half of its food (Namdar et al., 2021). The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) predicts that climate change will rapidly reduce precipitation in the region and that the resulting hydrological changes could reduce water availability per person by 30% to 70% by 2025, affecting hydropower capacity, diminish agricultural productivity by reducing yields of rainfed crops by 64% in some locations, and also heighten the risk of flooding in highly populated urban coastal areas, with ocean warming and acidification in the Mediterranean region impacting marine ecosystems and fisheries (IPCC, 2014; Mahmoud, 2021; Ali et al., 2022).

Of the world's proven oil and gas reserves, 57% and 41%, respectively, are in the MENA region (although not distributed evenly among the region's countries), and the exploitation of these fossil fuel resources is central to the economies of most MENA countries. The prospect of reducing the consumption of fossil fuels in order to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions therefore strikes the region's oil-producing countries as a costly proposition that will rob them of economic opportunity. Lifestyles and consumption patterns within these countries are also highly carbon-intensive, and per capita emissions in many

MENA countries are 60% higher than the average among developing countries. At the same time, poverty rates remain high in many resource-poor MENA countries, such as in Yemen and Djibouti, the two countries in the region that are classified as Least Developed Countries (LDCs).

Where does climate finance come from?

There are 14 climate funds active in the MENA region (Table 1 and Figure 1). The largest contributions are from the CTF, which has approved a total of USD 855 million for five projects in Morocco and Egypt and five regional projects. Most of this finance has been made available as concessional loans. An investment plan to support concentrated thermal power in the MENA region has also been approved.

Through the GCF, three projects support Morocco (for a total of USD 95 million), two Egypt (with USD 186 million), one Jordan (with USD 25 million) and one supports the West Bank and Gaza (with USD 23 million). Countries in the MENA region will also potentially benefit from several multi-country GCF programmes, although the portion of finance that will be allocated to each country is still unclear. Egypt, Jordan, Morocco and Tunisia will benefit from the GCF's USD 378 million global programme for sustainable energy financing, while Jordan, Morocco and Tunisia could receive funding for sub-projects to be approved under the Global Subnational Climate Fund (USD 150 million) and a high impact

Table 1: Climate funds supporting the MENA region (2003–2022, USD millions)

Fund	Amount approved	Projects approved
Clean Technology Fund (CTF)	855.1	10
Green Climate Fund (GCF-IRM, GCF-1)	328.7	7
Global Environment Facility (GEF-4, 5, 6, 7)	160.9	60
Adaptation Fund (AF)	91.2	16
Least Developed Countries Fund (LDCF)	44.1	9
Special Climate Change Fund (SCCF)	37.3	7
Adaptation for Smallholder Agriculture Programme (ASAP)	24.1	5
Global Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Fund (GEEREF)	16.6	1
Forest Investment Program (FIP)	12.0	1
Global Climate Change Alliance (GCCA)	11.6	2
Partnership for Market Readiness (PMR)	10.2	6
Millennium Development Goals Achievement Fund ² (MDG-F)	7.6	2
Pilot Program for Climate Resilience (PPCR)	2.6	2
Scaling up Renewable Energy Program in Low Income Countries (SREP)	0.9	2

mitigation programme for the corporate sector (USD 258 million). In 2022, the GCF approved three more multi-country programmes, from which some countries in the MENA region will benefit. Tunisia and Djibouti might receive funding under a new GCF USD 237 million global programme for energy efficiency buildings, with Morocco and Djibouti included in the list of countries to benefit from the globally active Climate Investor Two equity funding programme, to which the GCF contributes USD 145 million. Lastly, Djibouti is also a target country under a USD 112 million regional programme focused on inclusive green financing for Great Green Wall countries. The GCF also supports 34 readiness programmes across MENA with USD 24.4 million.

Bilateral climate finance also flows to MENA. Such climate finance complements the multilateral climate fund flows. This includes the bilateral climate fund of Germany.³ Bilateral funds are not tracked by CFU, however, given their relative lack of transparently available detailed information of current activities and spending.

Who receives the money?

The distribution of climate finance from dedicated climate funds is concentrated in Egypt and Morocco, with total approved amounts of USD 473 million and USD 293 million respectively from the multilateral climate funds tracked by CFU. CFU data shows that of the 21 MENA countries, only 17 countries are recipients of climate finance. The four countries not receiving climate finance include wealthy oil-producing states such as the United Arab Emirates (UAE). Djibouti and Yemen, two countries classified as LDCs in MENA, have together received USD 115 million. This funding is almost exclusively for adaptation projects. Lastly, a significant amount of funding (USD 542 million) for the region comes in form of multi-country or regional programmes, for which the exact financial distribution among recipient countries is not yet known at the time of approval. In the case of the CTF MENA regional projects, however, at least USD 486 million is approved for activities in Morocco.

Figure 1: Funds supporting the MENA region (2003–2022)





Figure 2: Amount approved for MENA recipient countries (2003-2022)



What is being funded?

As Figure 3 and Table 2 show, 71% (USD 1.15 billion) of climate finance approved in the region is allocated to mitigation activities. This figure is largely a reflection of the CTF's ten MENA projects, with an average size of USD 86 million (the average size of the non-CTF projects in the region is USD 5 million). The largest project in MENA is the USD 238 million concessional loan for the Noor II and III Concentrated Solar Power (CSP) Project in Morocco, approved in 2014 by the CTF. This project is part of a concerted push by the CTF to scale up the deployment of CSP technology across the region. CSP has considerable potential to generate clean electricity at scale. The CTF's investments in MENA are beginning to showcase the value of targeted international public finance in order to demonstrate the viability of this promising technology (Stadelmann et al., 2014). The largest single GCF investment in the region so far came in 2017 with the approval of USD 154.7 million for a renewable energy financing framework for Egypt.

Cumulative funding for adaptation projects in MENA, which on average are significantly smaller than mitigation investments, only reaches about 30% of approved mitigation financing in the region, despite significant adaptation needs. Several funds are implementing 47 adaptation projects in the region with an approved total of USD 341 million.

New funding approvals for the region in 2022 were modest, amounting to USD 51 million across all funding themes. The CTF approved one new project in Egypt to facilitate investment and development in green hydrogen (USD 30 million) and the PPCR one project in Tunisia for the implementation of a sustainable district heating and cooling system in Tunis (USD 1 million). The second largest contributor to the region, the GCF, did not approve new

projects directly focused on countries in the region, but three multi-country regional and global programmes, which could benefit Tunisia, Morocco and Djibouti as recipients of sub-project funding. Meanwhile, the GEF-7 approved six new projects, including three multiple foci project totalling 2.9 million (two in Tunisia and one in Morocco) and three mitigation projects in Jordan (USD 1.1 million), Morocco (USD 0.9 million) and Tunisia (USD 1.8 million). Finally, two funds approved projects in the region for the first time in 2022. The FIP approved one project in support of REDD+ in Tunisia (USD 12 million) and the SREP approved two projects under its technical assistance facility in Jordan (USD 0.3 million) and in Egypt, Lebanon and Morocco (USD 0.6 million).

Figure 3: Approved funding across themes (2003-2022)




Table 2: Approved funding across themes (2003-2022)

Theme	Amount approved (USD millions)	Projects approved
Mitigation	1,154.4	56
Adaptation	340.9	47
Multiple foci	119.9	60
REDD+ (reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation, forest conservation, sustainable forest management and the enhancement of forest carbon stocks)	12.0	1

References and further reading

Ali, E., W. Cramer, J. Carnicer, E. Georgopoulou, N.J.M. Hilmi, G. Le Cozannet, and P. Lionello, 2022: Cross-Chapter Paper 4: Mediterranean Region. In: *Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [H.-O. Pörtner, D.C. Roberts, M. Tignor, E.S. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegria, M. Craig, S. Langsdorf, S. Löschke, V. Möller, A. Okem, B. Rama (eds.)]. Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, pp. 2233-2272. https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg2/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_WGII_CCP4.pdf

Climate Funds Update: www.climatefundsupdate.org

ESCWA (2019) Climate finance in the Arab region. Technical report. Beirut: United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Western Asia. <https://digitallibrary.un.org/record/3880291?ln=en>

Houzir, M., Mokass, M. and Schalatek, L. (2016) Climate governance and the role of climate finance in Morocco. Rabat and Washington, DC: Heinrich Böll Stiftung Morocco and North America. https://us.boell.org/sites/default/files/morocco_study_climate_governance_final_english_nov.2.pdf

IPCC (2014) AR 5 climate change 2014: impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. Geneva: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg2/>

Mahmoud, M. (2021) The IPCC's Sixth Assessment Report and what it means for the Middle East. Washington, DC: Middle East Institute. <https://www.mei.edu/publications/ipcc-sixth-assessment-report-climate-change-and-what-it-means-middle-east>

Namdar, R.; Karami, E.; Keshavarz, M. (2021) Climate Change and Vulnerability: The Case of MENA Countries. Basel, Switzerland: MDPI International Journal of Geo-Information. ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Inf. 2021, 10, 794. <https://www.mdpi.com/2220-9964/10/11/794>

Schalatek, L., Little, S., Bibler, S. Salcedo-La Vina, C. (2012) From ignorance to inclusion: gender-responsive multilateral adaptation investments in the MENA region. Washington, DC: Heinrich Böll Stiftung Washington, DC and Gender Action. <http://www.genderaction.org/publications/ignorancetoinclusion.pdf>

Stadelmann, M., Frisari, G. and Rosenberg, A. (2014) The role of public finance in CSP – lessons learned. San Giorgio Group Policy Brief. Venice: Climate Policy Initiative. <https://www.climatepolicyinitiative.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/06/The-Role-of-Public-Finance-in-CSP-Lessons-Learned.pdf>

Endnotes

1. World Bank classification: Algeria, Bahrain, Djibouti, Egypt, Iran, Iraq, Israel, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libya, Malta, Morocco, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Tunisia, United Arab Emirates, West Bank and Gaza, Yemen (see: <https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519-world-bank-country-and-lending-groups>).
2. The Millennium Development Goal Achievement Fund (MDG-F) was operational from 2007-2013. As of May 2019, all of its projects had been financially closed.
3. In 2014, the last year when CFU was able to track bilateral climate funds, cumulative bilateral flows to MENA since 2008 included USD 38 million from Germany's Internationale Klimaschutzinitiative (IKI, international climate initiative).

The Climate Finance Fundamentals are based on Climate Funds Update data and up to 2021 also available in French and Spanish at www.climatefundsupdate.org

© ODI and hbs 2023.
CC BY-NC 4.0.

ODI
203 Blackfriars Road | London | SE1 8NJ | UK
Tel: +44 (0)20 7922 0300

Heinrich Böll Stiftung Washington, DC
1432 K Street, NW | Suite 500 | Washington DC 20005 | USA
Tel: +1 202 462 7512